© 2011 Oncology Nursing Society. Unauthorized reproduction, in part or in whole, is strictly prohibited. For permission to photocopy, post online, reprint, adapt, or otherwise reuse any or all content from this article, e-mail pubpermissions@ons.org. To purchase high-quality reprints, e-mail reprints@ons.org.

## **Online Exclusive**

## Colorectal Cancer Family History Assessment: Documentation, Deficiencies, and Future Directions

Patricia Paul Kelly, DNP, APRN, CNS, AOCN®

This article describes family history assessment for colorectal cancer in three outpatient gastroenterology units and examines gastroenterology unit nurses' knowledge and attitudes about family history assessments. Eighty-eight colonoscopy records were surveyed, and 16 RNs were interviewed. The medical record documentation was surveyed using a researcherdeveloped tool to identify type of cancer, age at disease onset, family relationship, and number of family members with cancer. Gastroenterology unit nurses were interviewed to assess knowledge and attitudes about family history assessment regarding colorectal cancer. Findings indicate that limited family history documentation was present in the medical record and that important age-at-disease-onset information was missing in 95% of patients with a family history of colorectal cancer and in 85% of patients with a family history of Lynch syndrome-associated cancers. No documentation was found in any charts about the number of affected relatives within the same family. Inconsistencies in family history documentation within the same medical record were noted, and family history information was found in multiple chart forms. Gastroenterology nurses rated family history as very important but gave a lower rating to personal knowledge about and resources for family history assessment.

amily history evaluation is considered to be one of the most effective tools for predicting disease (Alspach, 2011; Leach & Eng, 2010) and is used for risk stratification, individualized screening for cancer detection and prevention, and targeted genetic services (Berg et al., 2009). Leach and Eng (2010) compared family history assessment to commercial personal genomic testing, often referred to as direct-to-consumer genetic testing, and family history assessment was found to be superior in stratifying population risk, moderate risk, and high risk for breast, prostate, or colon cancers. Although family history is one of the least expensive and most important genomic tools, it frequently is underused and underdocumented (Freezo, Rubenstein, Dunham, & Ormond, 2003; Murff, Greevy, & Syngal, 2007). Family history information is key for studying single-gene disorders and identifying the shared risk factors, gene alternations (single-

## At a Glance

- A family history assessment for colorectal cancer is important for evaluating risk, individualizing screening guidelines, and targeting referrals for genetic counseling.
- Based on a literature review and the findings from this study, family history documentation often is inadequate and documentation tools are underdeveloped.
- Nurses have the ability to improve their knowledge and skills related to family history assessment and documentation.

nucleotide polymorphisms), lifestyle patterns, and environment of common complex diseases (Berg et al., 2009). Although the presence or absence of family history may be documented in

Patricia Paul Kelly, DNP, APRN, CNS, AOCN<sup>®</sup>, is a research/evidence-based practice facilitator and genomics consultant at Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital in Dallas. The author takes full responsibility for the content of the article. The author did not receive honoraria for this work. The content of this article has been reviewed by independent peer reviewers to ensure that it is balanced, objective, and free from commercial bias. No financial relationships relevant to the content of this article have been disclosed by the author, planners, independent peer reviewers, or editorial staff. (Submitted January 2011. Revision submitted February 2011. Accepted for publication March 9, 2011.) Digital Object Identifier: 10.1188/11.CJON.E75-E82