

Demographic, Symptom, and Lifestyle Factors Associated With Cancer-Related Fatigue in Men With Prostate Cancer

Kristin Dickinson, PhD, RN, OCN®, Andrew Lim, BSN, RN, and Kevin A. Kupzyk, PhD

OBJECTIVES: To identify potential demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with cancer-related fatigue (CRF) in men with prostate cancer.

SAMPLE & SETTING: Data were retrieved from men with prostate cancer across the disease trajectory who were enrolled in the Genitourinary Cancer Collaborative Registry–Prostate Cancer.

METHODS & VARIABLES: Self-reported data on demographic characteristics, lifestyle habits (smoking history, alcohol consumption, physical activity/exercise, dietary habits, and vitamins/supplements), and symptom experiences (measured using the Brief Fatigue Inventory, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality-of-Life Questionnaire–Prostate Cancer and –Bone Metastasis, and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index) were included in the registry.

RESULTS: Demographic (younger age) and symptom (sleep quality, urinary, bowel, hormone-related, and sexual activity) correlates of CRF were identified. Higher levels of moderate to vigorous exercise and activities were associated with lower CRF in the sample as a whole. However, there was no association between CRF and physical activity in men with bone metastasis.

IMPLICATIONS FOR NURSING: CRF is a common and burdensome symptom among individuals with cancer and survivors. Identification of demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with CRF can enhance understanding of this symptom and contribute to early risk assessment and intervention.

KEYWORDS prostate cancer; fatigue; symptoms; demographics; lifestyle factors

ONF, 48(4), 423–430.

DOI 10.1188/21.ONF.423-430

Cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is commonly defined as “a distressing, persistent, subjective sense of physical, emotional, and/or cognitive tiredness or exhaustion related to cancer or cancer treatment that is not proportional to recent activity and interferes with usual functioning” (National Comprehensive Cancer Network [NCCN], 2020, p. FT-1). CRF is different from fatigue caused by insufficient sleep or general tiredness in that it involves physical, mental, and emotional aspects. It is persistent and not relieved by simply getting adequate rest or sleep (Charalambous & Kouta, 2016). CRF often presents at diagnosis, worsens during treatment, and lasts into survivorship, culminating in a prolonged detrimental effect on health-related quality of life. CRF remains prevalent, poorly understood, challenging to assess, and difficult to manage (Dickinson et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2017; Randall et al., 2019).

Identification of demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with CRF is essential to enhance understanding of this challenging symptom and contribute to early risk assessment and intervention. Previous studies examining the relationship between demographic and clinical data and CRF have shown that age, body composition (fat and lean mass), and hematologic values (hemoglobin, testosterone, hematocrit, and red blood cells) have been associated with CRF in men with prostate cancer (Bandara et al., 2019; Chao et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2015; Newton et al., 2018). In addition, the influence of concurrent symptoms (e.g., urinary symptoms, pain, depression) has been associated with CRF in men with prostate cancer (Chao et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2019).

Although several studies have explored demographic and clinical factors associated with fatigue in men with prostate cancer, few have examined sociodemographic or lifestyle factors—excluding physical activity and nutrition—that are associated

with CRF in men with prostate cancer. One study of men with nonmetastatic prostate cancer found that younger age contributed to increases in CRF during treatment with radiation therapy, but race, residence, working status, and smoking status did not contribute to increases in CRF (Chao et al., 2018). A second study observed that older age was associated with higher fatigue scores, whereas smoking status did not reach statistical significance in men with metastatic prostate cancer receiving docetaxel (Bergin et al., 2017). Therefore, the purpose of this exploratory study was to identify potential demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with CRF in men with prostate cancer across the disease trajectory who were enrolled in a genitourinary cancer registry.

Methods

Data for this cross-sectional, exploratory study were retrieved from the Genitourinary Cancer Collaborative Registry (GUCaRe)–Prostate Cancer. GUCaRe is a subset of the larger integrated Cancer Repository for Cancer Research (iCaRe²) registry at the Fred and Pamela Buffett Cancer Center and the University of Nebraska Medical Center (Sherman et al., 2011). Written informed consent was provided by all participants. Enrollment to the registry was initiated in 2014; all available data from 2014 to 2019 were included.

GUCaRe Questionnaire and Study Variables

The GUCaRe questionnaire consists of both core data elements from the iCaRe² registry and genitourinary-specific elements from the GUCaRe extended questionnaire.

iCaRe² core data elements: Data were used from the demographic, smoking history, and alcohol modules. The demographic module included age, race, ethnicity, marital status, education, employment status, and income. The smoking history module included data on smoking habits and exposure (e.g., start age, current use, total years). The alcohol module included data on consumption habits (e.g., start age, current use, total years).

GUCaRe extended questionnaire: Data were used from the physical activity/exercise level, dietary habits, and vitamins/supplements modules of the GUCaRe extended questionnaire. The physical activity/exercise level module consisted of data on time spent participating in moderate and vigorous activities, including exercise, sports, home activities, and occupation activities. The dietary habits module included data regarding habits and consumption (carbohydrates, fruits and vegetables, protein, fats,

and dairy), and the vitamins/supplements module included data on patients' usage of more than 30 different vitamins and supplements.

In addition to the existing modules, four symptom questionnaires were included in the GUCaRe questionnaire: the Brief Fatigue Inventory (BFI), the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality-of-Life Questionnaire–Prostate Cancer (EORTC QLQ-PR25), and the EORTC QLQ–Bone Metastases (EORTC QLQ-BM22).

Fatigue was the primary symptom of interest and was assessed using the BFI, which is a nine-item measure of fatigue severity and interference. Three questions assess fatigue severity during the past 24 hours. Six questions assess the interference of fatigue with general activity, mood, walking ability, normal work, relations with other people, and enjoyment of life. Items are individually rated on a scale ranging from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating greater fatigue severity. The reliability and validity of the BFI is well established (Mendoza et al., 1999).

The PSQI is a 19-item measure of sleep quality during the past month. Responses from the items are used to generate a global score, as well as seven component scores on the following variables: sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbance, sleep medication usage, and daytime dysfunction. Each component score ranges from 0 to 3, with a total possible score ranging from 0 to 21. Higher scores indicate poor sleep quality. The reliability and validity of the PSQI is well established (Buysse et al., 1989).

The EORTC QLQ-PR25 is a 25-item questionnaire that assess quality of life in men with prostate cancer using five multi-item symptom and functional scales. The symptom scales assess urinary, bowel, and hormonal treatment-related symptoms, as well as a single item to assess urinary incontinence aid. The functional scales assess sexual activity and sexual functioning. The EORTC QLQ-PR25 questions for incontinence aid and sexual functioning both have qualifiers, meaning that the respondent completes them only if they meet certain criteria (i.e., being sexually active in the past four weeks). If a respondent does not meet the criteria to answer the questions, then the questions are skipped. Items are individually rated on a scale ranging from 1 to 4, with a total transformed score ranging from 0 to 100. Higher scores indicate higher symptoms or worse sexual activity and functioning. The validity and reliability of the EORTC QLQ-PR25 is well established (van Andel et al., 2008).

The EORTC QLQ-BM22 is a 22-item questionnaire assessing quality of life for those with bone metastasis and consists of both symptom and functional scales. The symptom scales assess painful sites and pain characteristics. The functional scales assess functional interference and psychosocial aspects. Items are individually rated on a scale ranging from 1 to 4, with a total transformed score ranging from 0 to 100. Higher scores indicate higher symptoms or higher level of functional interference and worse psychosocial aspects. The validity and reliability of the EORTC QLQ-BM22 is also well established (Chow et al., 2012).

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used for reporting demographic, lifestyle, and symptom data for the sample. Normality of the data was assessed. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients were used to determine associations between demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors and fatigue. To determine whether any of these relationships varied depending on bone metastasis status, multiple linear regression models were performed (interactions). Because of a positive skew in fatigue scores, a natural log transformation was used in the regression models. Where significant interactions were observed, model predicted values were back transformed to create interaction plots. Significance was set at $\alpha < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 25.0.

Results

Data from 96 men were included in this sample (see Table 1). Ages ranged from 29 to 89 years, with an average of 70.6 years (SD = 9.1). Most of the participants were White (n = 94) and married (n = 70). Most participants had less than a four-year college degree (n = 56) and a household income of more than \$45,000 (n = 51). Fifty-nine participants reported being current alcohol drinkers, and five participants reported being current smokers. Of the 96 participants, 38 reported having bone metastasis. The demographic characteristics of those with bone metastasis were similar to those without metastasis. No significant differences were found in age, time since diagnosis, years of alcohol consumption, daily alcohol use, smoking status, sleep disturbance, fatigue, marital status, education level, or income.

Demographic Correlations With Fatigue

Age at diagnosis was the only demographic characteristic that significantly correlated with fatigue

TABLE 1. Sample Characteristics (N = 96)

Characteristic	\bar{X}	SD	Range
Age at enrollment (years)	70.6	9.1	29–89
Age at diagnosis (years)	64.7	7.9	48–85
Time since diagnosis (years)	6	5.8	0.3–24.2
Characteristic	n		
Marital status			
Married	70		
Not married	25		
Missing data	1		
Race			
White	94		
Other	2		
Ethnicity			
Not Hispanic	94		
Hispanic	2		
Education level			
Less than a 4-year college degree	56		
4-year college degree or higher	39		
Missing data	1		
Household income (\$)			
Less than 45,000	31		
45,000 or higher	51		
Missing data	14		
Current drinker			
Yes	59		
No	24		
Missing data	13		
1 alcoholic drink (per month)			
Yes	84		
No	10		
Missing data	2		
6 alcoholic drinks or more (per occasion)			
No	58		
Yes	21		
Missing data	17		
Current smoker			
No	87		
Yes	5		
Missing data	4		
Ever smoked^a			
Yes	51		
No	43		

Continued on the next page

TABLE 1. Sample Characteristics (N = 96) (Continued)

Characteristic	n
Ever smoked^a (continued)	
Missing data	2
Bone metastases	
No	58
Yes	38

^a Defined as smoking more than 100 cigarettes in one's lifetime
Note. Based on information from Integrated Cancer Repository for Cancer Research, n.d.

($r_s = -0.21$, $p < 0.05$). Younger age was associated with higher fatigue. Marital status, education level, and household income were not significantly associated with fatigue (see Table 2).

Symptom Correlations With Fatigue

Table 3 shows correlations among fatigue, sleep quality, prostate-specific quality of life, and quality of life related to bone metastasis. Fatigue was significantly correlated with total scores on the PSQI, indicating that higher fatigue was associated with poorer sleep quality. Fatigue scores were significantly associated with all scales of the EORTC QLQ-PR25, except the incontinence aid symptom scale and the sexual function scale. These results indicate that higher fatigue was associated with higher prostate-specific symptomatology, as well as worse sexual activity.

Lifestyle Correlations With Fatigue

Higher activity levels of vigorous exercise ($r_s = -0.4$, $p < 0.001$), vigorous home activities ($r_s = -0.31$, $p =$

0.004), and moderate home activities ($r_s = -0.39$, $p < 0.001$) were significantly associated with lower levels of fatigue in the sample. There were no significant correlations between smoking, alcohol, dietary, vitamins/supplements, or other exercise habits with fatigue (see Table 4).

Bone Metastasis

There were only two different interactions found from multiple linear regression models between those with ($n = 38$) and those without ($n = 58$) bone metastasis. For respondents without bone metastasis, moderate exercise was significantly correlated with lower fatigue ($r = -0.39$, $p = 0.006$), as were moderate sports ($r = -0.363$, $p = 0.01$); however, no association was found for fatigue with either activity in those with bone metastasis. Fatigue was significantly correlated with all scales of the EORTC QLQ-BM22, indicating that higher fatigue correlated with higher bone metastasis-related symptomatology, higher level of functional interference, and worse psychosocial aspects.

Discussion

The purpose of this exploratory study was to identify potential demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with CRF in men with prostate cancer across the disease trajectory. Demographic (younger age) and symptom (sleep quality, urinary, bowel, hormone-related, and sexual activity) correlates of CRF were identified. The literature reporting on the relationship of age with CRF is inconsistent, particularly when comparing studies in those with localized versus advanced prostate disease (Bergin et al., 2017; Challapalli et al., 2018; Chao et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2017). Additional research is needed to determine the relationship between age and other sociodemographic factors and CRF in men with prostate cancer across the disease trajectory.

Symptoms (sleep quality, urinary, bowel, hormone-related, and sexual activity) were also correlated with CRF and aligned with observations in the literature. Sleep quality is often found to co-occur with fatigue in individuals with cancer (Charalambous et al., 2019), including those with prostate cancer (Koskderelioglu et al., 2017). Urinary, bowel, and hormone-related symptoms are common side effects observed in men with prostate cancer and have been found to be associated with CRF in this patient population (Randall et al., 2019). In addition, urinary, bowel, and hormone-related symptoms have been associated with distress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms in

TABLE 2. Correlates of Demographic Characteristics With Cancer-Related Fatigue

Variable	N	Correlation	p
Age at diagnosis (years)	91	-0.214	0.041
Age at enrollment (years)	91	-0.052	0.627
Time since diagnosis (years)	91	0.134	0.206
Education level	90	-0.066	0.538
Marital status	90	0.027	0.802
Household income	78	-0.11	0.336

Note. Based on information from Integrated Cancer Repository for Cancer Research, n.d.

survivors of prostate cancer (Feng et al., 2019; Sharp et al., 2016). Limited research has explored the relationship between sexual activity and function and CRF (Higano, 2012; Zdravkovic et al., 2020). More research in this area is needed.

Associated symptoms (sleep quality, urinary, bowel, hormone-related, and sexual activity) likely arise from the primary and adjuvant treatments provided to men with prostate cancer. Urinary, bowel, and sexual activity complications often are a result of tissue damage within the radiation field. Similarly, hormone-related and sexual activity symptoms can develop from the use of adjuvant treatments. These treatment-related complications likely interfere with sleep quality and other activities of daily living, which may synergistically contribute to CRF. Therefore, the use of self-management interventions targeting these correlated symptoms, such as behavioral sleep interventions, might provide corresponding relief of CRF (Feng et al., 2019).

Regarding lifestyle factors associated with CRF, it was observed that higher levels of moderate to vigorous exercise and activities were associated with lower CRF in survivors of prostate cancer without bone metastasis. Exercise is the predominant management strategy currently recommended by supportive care professional organizations for CRF (Mitchell et al., 2017; NCCN, 2020). Although exercise is highly recommended, more research regarding the type, frequency, duration, and intensity is needed to provide clinicians with a practical guideline for prescribing this intervention (Bernardo & Becker, 2017; Brown et al., 2012). In addition, the use of multicomponent management strategies, such as mind-body interventions, may be more effective at alleviating symptomatology by mitigating not only CRF but also co-occurring and/or associated symptoms (Feng et al., 2019; McQuade et al., 2017).

An important finding from this study was that physical activity was not associated with CRF in men with bone metastasis. Much of the existing literature on exercise has excluded those with advanced disease, resulting in limited understanding of its benefits for this clinical population (Knowlton et al., 2020). Previous studies that have investigated physical activity in those with advanced cancer showed that physical activity had no effect on fatigue. The prevalence of fatigue was similar between those with advanced cancer who adhered to the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) exercise guidelines and those who did not adhere to the guidelines (Knowlton et al., 2020). Similarly, in a study by Oldervoll et al.

(2011), individuals with advanced cancer randomized to a physical exercise group had no difference in physical fatigue scores compared to those in the usual care group. More research is needed to better understand the efficacy of physical activity for CRF in those with advanced cancer and to investigate whether different approaches to fatigue management (e.g., mind-body) might be more beneficial for those with advanced disease.

Limitations

Strengths of this study include the design, specifically the use of a cancer registry and validated symptom assessments. The inclusion of men across the disease trajectory, including those with metastatic disease, provides a unique perspective to understanding CRF. The limitations of this study include the cross-sectional nature of the registry, being restricted to the data contained in the registry,

TABLE 3. Correlates of Symptoms With Cancer-Related Fatigue

Variable	N	Correlation	p
EORTC QLQ-BM22			
Functional interference	36	0.566	< 0.001
Pain characteristics	35	0.549	0.001
Painful sites	36	0.483	0.003
Psychosocial aspects	38	0.577	< 0.001
EORTC QLQ-PR25			
Bowel symptoms	82	0.359	0.001
Hormonal treatment symptoms	89	0.503	< 0.001
Incontinence aid	26	0.144	0.481
Sexual activity	88	0.253	0.018
Sexual functioning	26	0.172	0.4
Urinary symptoms	90	0.36	< 0.001
PSQI			
Sleep quality	90	0.503	< 0.001

EORTC QLQ-BM22—European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality-of-Life Questionnaire—Bone Metastases; EORTC QLQ-PR25—European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality-of-Life Questionnaire—Prostate Cancer; PSQI—Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index

Note. Based on information from Integrated Cancer Repository for Cancer Research, n.d.

the small sample size, and lack of racial and ethnic diversity in the sample. A larger sample with more racial and ethnic diversity might have different

factors associated with CRF that would be important to identify for personalized education and management.

TABLE 4. Correlates of Lifestyle Factors With Cancer-Related Fatigue

Variable	N	Correlation	p
Exercise			
Moderate exercise and leisure	79	-0.145	0.201
Moderate home activities	85	-0.39	< 0.001
Moderate occupational activities	86	-0.098	0.371
Moderate sports	83	-0.148	0.183
Vigorous exercise and leisure	82	-0.399	< 0.001
Vigorous home activities	84	-0.313	0.004
Vigorous occupational activities	84	-0.071	0.52
Diet			
Change in dietary habits (postdiagnosis)	68	-0.079	0.522
Fat level (prediagnosis)	79	0.054	0.637
Margarine consumption (prediagnosis)	81	-0.042	0.709
Protein level (prediagnosis)	43	-0.201	0.197
Salt level (prediagnosis)	42	0.017	0.915
Sugar level (prediagnosis)	41	0.077	0.632
Smoking			
Smoking start age	45	-0.251	0.096
Ever smoked (more than 100 cigarettes in lifetime)	89	0.088	0.411
Current smoker	87	0.004	0.971
Number of cigarettes per day	47	-0.155	0.298
Total number of cigarettes per year	36	0.026	0.881
Smoking stop age	40	-0.045	0.784
Alcohol			
Alcohol start age	77	-0.174	0.13
Current drinker	80	0.077	0.494
Number of drinks per day	62	0.013	0.92
6 drinks or more on 1 occasion	75	0.151	0.197
Total years of drinking alcohol	76	0.112	0.334
Note. Based on information from Integrated Cancer Repository for Cancer Research, n.d.			

Implications for Nursing

Identifying potential demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with CRF has clinical and research relevance. Knowledge of demographic, symptom, and lifestyle correlates of CRF may help clinicians to identify patients at risk for CRF onset or intensification. These at-risk patients may benefit from early education and intervention to prevent or mitigate CRF. The findings also support the belief that survivors of prostate cancer without metastasis may benefit more from vigorous exercise (e.g., jogging or running, fast bicycling, circuit weight training, aerobic dance, martial arts, jumping rope, swimming) and moderate to vigorous home activities (e.g., mowing the lawn, general lawn and garden maintenance, vacuuming) to mitigate CRF. This aligns with the ACSM guidelines that state that individuals should strive for 90–150 minutes of moderate aerobic activity during the week, with the inclusion of resistance training (ACSM, 2019; Bower, 2014; Knowlton et al., 2020). These guidelines should be considered when providing education about CRF management in the clinical setting. However, caution needs to be taken in those with advanced or metastatic disease when prescribing exercise (NCCN, 2020), particularly because the findings from this study demonstrated that physical activity was not associated with CRF in men with bone metastasis.

The findings of the current study also support the need for development and testing of individualized, tailored interventions that support the ACSM guidelines and account for the disease trajectory and stage. Of note, additional research is needed in those with advanced and metastatic prostate cancer, particularly to the bone. Enhanced understanding of barriers and facilitators to exercise interventions is needed in survivors of cancer across the disease trajectory (Knowlton et al., 2020). Research to improve CRF assessment and management, particularly in relation to exercise, is vital to enhance the lives of men with prostate cancer across the disease trajectory.

Conclusion

This study identified demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with CRF in men with prostate cancer. Importantly, this study highlighted the potential benefit of moderate to vigorous physical activity for CRF in survivors of prostate cancer without metastasis. However, the findings also highlighted that physical activity may not be beneficial for CRF for men with bone metastasis, underscoring the important need for CRF intervention research across the

KNOWLEDGE TRANSLATION

- Understanding the demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is essential for early risk assessment and tailored intervention.
- Higher levels of CRF were associated with poorer sleep quality, higher prostate-specific symptomatology, and worse sexual activity; lower levels of CRF were associated with higher levels of vigorous exercise, vigorous home activities, and moderate home activities in men with prostate cancer across the disease trajectory.
- In men with prostate cancer with bone metastasis, higher CRF correlated with higher bone metastasis-related symptomatology, higher level of functional interference, and worse psychosocial aspects. However, there was no association between fatigue and physical activity in men with bone metastasis.

cancer disease trajectory. Understanding the demographic, symptom, and lifestyle factors associated with CRF can aid in the development and delivery of tailored education and management strategies to reduce this common and distressing symptom.

Kristin Dickinson, PhD, RN, OCN[®], is an assistant professor, **Andrew Lim, BSN, RN**, is a graduate research assistant, and **Kevin A. Kupzyk, PhD**, is an assistant professor, all in the College of Nursing at the University of Nebraska Medical Center in Omaha. Dickinson can be reached at kristin.dickinson@unmc.edu, with copy to ONFEditor@ons.org. (Submitted November 2020. Accepted January 28, 2021.)

The collection of data used in this study was supported by the Integrated Cancer Repository for Cancer Research, which was developed and maintained by the Cancer Research Informatics Office and the Clinical Trials Office at the Fred and Pamela Buffett Cancer Center and is funded by a National Cancer Institute Cancer Center Support Grant under award number P30CA036727.

Dickinson contributed to the conceptualization and design and completed the data collection. Dickinson and Kupzyk provided statistical support. All authors provided the analysis and contributed to the manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

- American College of Sports Medicine. (2019). *ACSM guidelines for exercise and cancer*. <https://www.acsm.org/blog-detail/acsm-certified-blog/2019/11/25/acsm-guidelines-exercise-cancer-download>
- Bandara, V., Capp, A., Ahmed, G., Arm, J., & Martin, J. (2019). Assessment and predictors of fatigue in men with prostate

- cancer receiving radiotherapy and androgen deprivation therapy. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology*, 63(5), 683–690. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1754-9485.12922>
- Bergin, A.R.T., Hovey, E., Lloyd, A., Marx, G., Parente, P., Rapke, T., & de Souza, P. (2017). Docetaxel-related fatigue in men with metastatic prostate cancer: A descriptive analysis. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 25(9), 2871–2879. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-017-3706-8>
- Bernardo, L.M., & Becker, B.J. (2017). *Integrating physical activity into cancer care: An evidence-based approach*. Oncology Nursing Society.
- Bower, J.E. (2014). Cancer-related fatigue—Mechanisms, risk factors, and treatments. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, 11(10), 597–609. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.127>
- Brown, J.C., Winters-Stone, K., Lee, A., & Schmitz, K.H. (2012). Cancer, physical activity, and exercise. *Comprehensive Physiology*, 2(4), 2775–2809. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.120005>
- Buysse, D.J., Reynolds, C.F., III, Monk, T.H., Berman, S.R., & Kupfer, D.J. (1989). The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research*, 28(2), 193–213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781\(89\)90047-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4)
- Challapalli, A., Edwards, S.M., Abel, P., & Mangar, S.A. (2018). Evaluating the prevalence and predictive factors of vasomotor and psychological symptoms in prostate cancer patients receiving hormonal therapy: Results from a single institution experience. *Clinical and Translational Radiation Oncology*, 10, 29–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctro.2018.03.002>
- Chao, H.H., Doucette, A., Raizen, D.M., & Vapiwala, N. (2018). Factors associated with fatigue in prostate cancer (PC) patients undergoing external beam radiation therapy (EBRT). *Practical Radiation Oncology*, 8(3), e139–e148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prro.2017.09.002>
- Charalambous, A., Berger, A.M., Matthews, E., Balachandran, D.D., Papastavrou, E., & Palesh, O. (2019). Cancer-related fatigue and sleep deficiency in cancer care continuum: Concepts, assessment, clusters, and management. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 27(7), 2747–2753. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-019-04746-9>
- Charalambous, A., & Kouta, C. (2016). Cancer related fatigue and quality of life in patients with advanced prostate cancer undergoing chemotherapy. *BioMed Research International*, 2016, 3989286. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3989286>
- Chow, E., Nguyen, J., Zhang, L., Tseng, L.M., Hou, M.F., Fairchild, A., . . . Bottomley, A. (2012). International field testing of the reliability and validity of the EORTC QLQ-BM22 module to assess health-related quality of life in patients with bone metastases. *Cancer*, 118(5), 1457–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.26410>
- Dickinson, K., Kupzyk, K., & Saligan, L. (2021). Evaluating dimensions of fatigue in men with prostate cancer receiving radiation therapy. *Cancer Nursing*, 44(1), 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.0000000000000782>
- Feng, L., Chen, M.K., Lukkahatai, N., Hsiao, C.P., Kaushal, A., Sechrest, L., & Saligan, L.N. (2015). Clinical predictors of fatigue in men with non-metastatic prostate cancer receiving external beam radiation therapy. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 19(6), 744–750. <https://doi.org/10.1188/15.CJON.744-750>
- Feng, L.R., Fuss, T., Dickinson, K., Ross, A., & Saligan, L.N. (2019). Co-occurring symptoms contribute to persistent fatigue in prostate cancer. *Oncology*, 96(4), 183–191. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000494620>
- Feng, L.R., Suy, S., Collins, S.P., & Saligan, L.N. (2017). The role of TRAIL in fatigue induced by repeated stress from radiotherapy. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 91, 130–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2017.03.012>
- Higano, C.S. (2012). Sexuality and intimacy after definitive treatment and subsequent androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 30(30), 3720–3725. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.41.8509>
- Integrated Cancer Repository for Cancer Research. (n.d.). *GUCaRe: Genitourinary cancer registry*. Fred and Pamela Buffett Cancer Center. <https://crweb.unmc.edu/GUCARE/index.jsp>
- Knowlton, S.E., O'Donnell, E.K., Horick, N., Perez, G.K., Park, E., Rabin, J., . . . Peppercorn, J.M. (2020). Moving forward on all fronts: Impact, patterns, and barriers to exercise in cancer survivors and patients living with advanced disease. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 28(10), 4979–4988. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-020-05344-w>
- Koskderelioglu, A., Gedizlioglu, M., Ceylan, Y., Gunlusoy, B., & Kahyaoglu, N. (2017). Quality of sleep in patients receiving androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *Neurological Sciences*, 38(8), 1445–1451. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-017-2989-3>
- McQuade, J.L., Prinsloo, S., Chang, D.Z., Spelman, A., Wei, Q., Basen-Engquist, K., . . . Cohen, L. (2017). Qigong/tai chi for sleep and fatigue in prostate cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy: A randomized controlled trial. *Psycho-Oncology*, 26(11), 1936–1943. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.4256>
- Mendoza, T.R., Wang, X.S., Cleeland, C.S., Morrissey, M., Johnson, B.A., Wendt, J.K., & Huber, S.L. (1999). The rapid assessment of fatigue severity in cancer patients: Use of the Brief Fatigue Inventory. *Cancer*, 85(5), 1186–1196. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1097-0142\(19990301\)85:5<1186::aid-cnrcr24>3.0.co;2-n](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0142(19990301)85:5<1186::aid-cnrcr24>3.0.co;2-n)
- Mitchell, S.A., Albrecht, T.A., Alkaiyat, M.O., Browne, K., Clark, J.C., DeGennaro, R.M., . . . Weisbrod, B.M. (2017). *Fatigue*. Oncology Nursing Society. <https://www.ons.org/pep/fatigue>
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. (2020). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®): Cancer-related fatigue [v.1.2021]*. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/fatigue.pdf
- Newton, R.U., Jeffery, E., Galvão, D.A., Peddle-McIntyre, C.J., Spry, N., Joseph, D., . . . Taaffe, D.R. (2018). Body composition, fatigue and exercise in patients with prostate cancer

- undergoing androgen-deprivation therapy. *BJU International*, 122(6), 986–993. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bju.14384>
- Oldervoll, L.M., Loge, J.H., Lydersen, S., Paltiel, H., Asp, M.B., Nygaard, U.V., . . . Kaasa, S. (2011). Physical exercise for cancer patients with advanced disease: A randomized controlled trial. *Oncologist*, 16(11), 1649–1657. <https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2011-0133>
- Randall, J., Haque, W., Butler, E.B., & Teh, B.S. (2019). Cancer related fatigue in prostate cancer. *Translational Andrology and Urology*, 8(Suppl. 1), S106–S108. <https://doi.org/10.21037/tau.2018.12.06>
- Sharp, L., O'Leary, E., Kinnear, H., Gavin, A., & Drummond, F.J. (2016). Cancer-related symptoms predict psychological wellbeing among prostate cancer survivors: Results from the PiCTure study. *Psycho-Oncology*, 25(3), 282–291. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.3909>
- Sherman, S., Shats, O., Fleissner, E., Bascom, G., Yiee, K., Copur, M., . . . Cowan, K. (2011). Multicenter breast cancer collaborative registry. *Cancer Informatics*, 10, 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.4137/CIN.S7845>
- van Andel, G., Bottomley, A., Fosså, S.D., Efficace, F., Coens, C., Guerif, S., . . . Aaronson, N.K. (2008). An international field study of the EORTC QLQ-PR25: A questionnaire for assessing the health-related quality of life of patients with prostate cancer. *European Journal of Cancer*, 44(16), 2418–2424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2008.07.030>
- Zdravkovic, A., Hasenöhrl, T., Palma, S., & Crevenna, R. (2020). Effects of resistance exercise in prostate cancer patients: A systematic review update as of March 2020. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift*, 132(15–16), 452–463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00508-020-01713-x>